



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/930,705	06/29/2001	Hao A. Chen	3063.0398-01	3770

22852 7590 05/19/2006

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER
LLP
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413

EXAMINER

DIXON, MERRICK L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1774

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/930,705	Applicant(s) CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Merrick Dixon	Art Unit 1774	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on rce filed 2-6-04.
 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5,7 and 9-59 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5,7 and 9-59 is/are rejected.
 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.



MERRICK DIXON

4) ☐ **PRIMARY EXAMINER** (PTO-113)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____

- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 6) ☐ Other: _____

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2-6-04.

Art Unit: 1774

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1,3,4,7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Consoli(US 3909488).

The cited reference teaches the claimed invention including a resilient covering comprising polyvinylchloride and urethane based acrylate containing aluminium oxide- col2, lines 53-65; col 2, lines 23-32; col 3, lines 41-52.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2,5,6,8,9,10 , 13, 30-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shultz et al(US 5670237) in view of Consoli (US 3909488). The primary reference to Schultz teaches the basic claimed invention including a resilient surface covering having improved wear resistance wear layer comprising radiation curable urethane based acrylate and aluminium material comprising specific sizes and weight percentages- col 6, lines 59-65; col 3, lines 5-32; col 4, lines 9-41; col 7, lines 39-44; col 9, lines 23-35; col 10, lines 35-39. The primary reference fails to teach aluminium oxide in its coating material. The reference to Consoli, however teaches this

Art Unit: 1774

aspect- col 2, lines 24-25. it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of the secondary reference , of including aluminium oxide, in the primary reference, motivated by the desire to impart desired characteristics to the coating material- col 2, line 25. Concerning claim 13, the secondary reference teaches this limitation as discussed above. Concerning claims 33,34,39 and 48, the secondary reference teaches the claimed limitations in col 7, lines 39-44. concerning claims 42,43 and 51, the secondary reference teaches the claimed limitations in col 3, lines 58-61. concerning claims 5,32,38,44,51 , 30,35,37,40,41,49 and 47, the secondary reference teaches these claimed limitations as discussed in the previous office action- see reference.

5. Claims 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Consoli('488) as applied to claims 2,5,6,8,9 and 10 above, and further in view of Williams(US 5401560).

Williams teaches that it is known to in the art to apply the above coating(obvious combined teachings of the references above) on wood product- col 3, lines 7-21.

6

Claims 14-29 remains withdrawn per paper no. 6: Applicant election.

7

Applicants who wish to send a facsimile (draft copies) for the examiner's immediate review can do so by using the Examiner's personal fax number at 571-273-1520. The faxing of all papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096

Art Unit: 1774

O.G. 30 (November 15, 1989). **NOTE: All facsimiles sent to the examiner's personal fax number should be in draft-forms and will be treated as informal.**

Same facsimiles will not be entered in the related applications unless otherwise agreed and noted by the examiner.

The fax number for all other fascimile is 571-273-8300.

Information about **the status of an application** may be obtained from the Patent Information Retrieval system (**Private PAIR**).

Status inquires for **published applications** may be retrieved from either **Private PAIR** or **Public PAIR**. Questions about the PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at **866-217-9197**.

Any questions concerning the instant communication should be directed to Examiner Dixon, at 571-272-1520, Mondays, Wednesdays and Thursdays, between 12 noon and 8 PM, eastern time .



Merrick Dixon

Primary Examiner

Group 1700